



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,936	01/29/2004	Yuval Barkan	P-9488-US	1872
Eitan Law Gro	7590 04/06/2007		EXAM	IINER
C/O LandonIP, Inc. Suite 450 1700 Diagonal Road			. HUYNH, CHUCK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, V			2617	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/765,936	BARKAN, YUVAL		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Chuck Huynh	2617		
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status.		•			
2a)☐ ⁻ 3)☐ ⁻	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $09 Fe$ This action is FINAL . 2b) \boxtimes This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 21-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application	on Papers				
10)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the GREP Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 2/09/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Examiner respectfully disagrees, and would like to direct Applicant's attention to the Remarks filed by Applicant on 8/22/2006; wherein the priority claim from the Israeli application was dropped. Since the priority claim was dropped, Kotzin was applied to the rejection. To further prosecution, Ludwig et al. is now applied.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2617

2. Claims 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ludwig et al. (US 6697352; hereinafter Ludwig).

Page 3

Regarding claim 21, Ludwig discloses in a cellular network system (Fig. 6: GSM), an interface unit comprising a first interface functionally connected with at least one management element of the cellular network (Fig. 6), a second interface functionally connected to the public internet and adapted to provide authorized entities (using authentication protocol: Col 6, line 46-50) connected to the Internet access to one or more cellular network services (Fig. 6; Col 2, line 61 – Col 3, line1-35).

Regarding claim 22, Ludwig discloses the interface according to claim 21, wherein said management unit is a base station controller (BSC: Fig. 6).

Regarding claim 23, Ludwig discloses the interface according to claim 21, wherein said management unit is a mobile switching service center (MSC: Fig. 6)

Regarding claim 24, Ludwig discloses the interface according to claim 21, wherein said management unit is a high level control (Fig. 7: HDLC; Col 3, lines 53-60).

Application/Control Number: 10/765,936

Art Unit: 2617

Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck Huynh whose telephone number is 571-272-7866. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duc Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Chuck Huynh

DUC M. NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600